

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE                                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/832,758               | 04/11/2001  | William M. Jackson   | 82515RLO 3362            |                  |
| 7                        | 590 09/20/2005                                    |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
| Thomas H. Close          |   |                      | EBRAHIMI DEHKORDY, SAEID |                  |
|                          | Eastman Kodak Company Patent Legal Staff ART UNIT |                      |                          |                  |
| 343 State Street         |   |                      | 2626                     |                  |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201 |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005  |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ,  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                                |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Advisory Action  | 09/832,758   | JACKSON ET AL.   |                                |  |  |  |
| Be   | efore the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |                                |  |  |  |
|  |  | Saeid Ebrahimi-dehKordy  | 2626   |                                |  |  |  |
|  | The MAII ING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add   | ress                           |  |  |  |
| THE DE   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
| 1. The this pla  | e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:  The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing the period of the period for reply expires | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 Cl | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |
| b) 🖾   |  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | g date of the final rejection  | on.                            |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
| (a)<br>(b)<br>(c)  | te proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  They raise new issues that would require further co  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or   | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re   | TE below);   |                                |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
| 6. N   | plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)<br>why proposed or amended claim(s) would be all<br>n-allowable claim(s).  |  | timely filed amendme   | ent canceling the              |  |  |  |
| 7. For how The Cla   | r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is protestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: iim(s) allowed: iim(s) objected to: iim(s) rejected: 1-7,14-17, 22-26 and 31-33.   | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.  | l be entered and an e  | explanation of                 |  |  |  |
|  | im(s) withdrawn from consideration: /IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
| 8. 🔲 The<br>bed<br>was   | e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | it or other evidence is  | necessary and                  |  |  |  |
| ent<br>sho   | e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c<br>wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>y and was not earlier presented. So  | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                          | ls to provide a                |  |  |  |
|  | ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach  | ied.                           |  |  |  |
|  | ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowar  | nce because:                   |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:   |  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant has amended claim 1 among other claims, by adding the features that include "plurality of remote printing location which can produce different photo products" and "selecting from a plurality of remote printing location which can produce different photo products" which would require further consideration and/or search.

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
CURRENT PATENT EXAMINER